

2-28-15

- My FU threat is Kilpatrick will  
maximize threats

are these threats "weak"?

See by boat - robbery

(Pascale's Mugging - a "small" chance of  
a big positive outcome \*

But cf. ... a big negative outcome  
(expected)

\* prob. = 0, for a mugger

prob gets less, for begin prison  
but threat (with possible capability  
(NK over!)

Oct 1-2, McK & VCS on mission:

JCS strong (? SAC? NSC?)

just after intell of possible mission  
Review the scope / effort we'll find the  
before it's operational

W/ deadline as late as Oct 20?

(before election)

EGC

2-28-85

Was it "sure to have done" ? because FF2 ?

Bushill

Levinson ?

me! hsr

Dillon (?)

(yes

But — in trial to avoid SIO? Not sure

— submarine!

— VCS didn't not!

(At least, they wanted back-down  
with no guarantee to Cuba!

(Well, C didn't really  
get that!)

or <sup>open</sup> trunk

"

same trunk?

(and they came about

(same trunk)

But if partial mutual opportunity  
to attack Cuba — why with some  
apparent commitment not to attack is  
given (it must also lead to  
the event, Com-Int. Interv  
provocation level: Northbrook)

on full  
machines  
and expense!

(no of bat. right)

IL-85:



NC I've ignored that "mission gap" was  
on Dem leader, co-ky  
(not just - am wrong? - co. SU)  
+ MIC (favor —)

JFK, LBJ, Symington (Alab.)  
(an Alab. Right)

Quotation ignored by analysts:

- Should JFK have regretted

(Now, Dem/cons give (under) credit  
to JFK for great trouble (as if not  
too little...))

Newsweek: "A former actor did nothing  
wrong, nothing to be blamed for, regret:  
nothing evil, criminal, reckless, immoral"

(JFK; Lee; LBJ (domestic; assass? NATO  
K: (Remember?)) VN over domestic  
Mil. commander VN folio  
Went; Petro; Alamo;

JFK at least: regretting VCs advice  
(+ Johnson, Dem, Ex-Comm; ready to follow) (?)  
Kubrick (OUT...); as in C-5, VN '61, Baker? Koon  
PTB

no case (not in NG<sup>2</sup>/ mention '87 elections)  
which left JFK in NY 1961-63

(was case?)

minerals get '61?

Cuba? 1961-62

C.I.I. 1962

(Bain?)

Rohls suggested he was forward  
on Nov '62?

(But he never submitted to minerals Cuba -  
Wf? Despite Bengali? (No press report?)  
dislike Cong / Rohls present? "Beng"  
and SC and birds?



2-28-15

Hyp

Balk deal decided by Thursday, Oct.  
(see Date on RFR? Kissinger)

in JFK - not unthinkable

LIKE: "VN like Russia"

e.g. K

not to go to war

(SU not  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  : down to 0, ble

JFK

just last kept it going: Fair - last

for

U-2 over SU

to, too:

Vandenberg? False alarm?

Sasha: USA chance (McN

SAM firing

offensive?

vs. Anderson

Balk using (more than if known)

"that the learn strategy to choose"

because it looks - and is - weak

John, "possibly" > 0 is not a bluff,  
even though with would choose a  
strategy (0 prob. of wound:

(?)

unless a major prevention -

which has a very low probability not controlled by  
either 1 high probability (of loss of control) is down -

2-28-15

points for conv.

" for down fold. (approach to down  
and/or - and history -  
and also  
of business, drafts makers  
(or despite, privately, determination not  
to do it.

Are any cities? Well, not first  
(can if "losing" - \$)

but what if someone does it, on  
one side or other?

North has taken full/wrong  
effort to prevent that

- partly for economic  
losses

Allis?  
Damon, Inc.

- partly for down cost (or with history  
don't want to show

John. Kriette

"Micro-Central."

(McN/Anderson)

Goal of trust is the  
(see

PALs

my memo

my work - COB

- Mail - survey (from Pres-)

- Mail disturbance of Pres + env stuff  
Opposition (incl. Congress)



2-28-15

C-II

not

Northness

Discomforter

~~and~~

- saying too  
was, not

intends to tell

- Deliberate

- Not telling!

too was; warlike;  
deliberate; troops!

- Castro not

telling!

- K planning to use too was, not to advice telling

- Castro secretly

use of too was;

- own guerrilla war

- + over deal! (as MRBMs?)

- K sends MRBMs before ?  
SAMS; obstructed; NOT USING SAMS!

- K sending warheads! (TCS)

- K sending advice; with was!

- K & Castro were promising

using too was;

noted, secretly

secretly then!

(Threatening)

(Wf were SU troops there?)

only to prevent war?

Reckless:

Northness  
Mergence

Aviation plans } VIV?

Hanks (Argument of weakness)

- SU goal - come in  
Cuba

- failure to provide

Consent

- Failure of SU officials,

Castro, Cuban officials

not to advice telling

of too was

- No U-2s from Oct  
1 (earlier) to Oct 14!

(PSALM / blind)

VFK?

others?

Not Mito

- not telling: Explain

of Mergence?  
(hear?)

<sup>wrong!</sup>  
(Mergence afforded;

- K sending warheads

(if he had avoided  
warheads, warheads)

- K not telling US

- Castro acceptance

- K lying?

secret?

- threat of

warheads; advice  
secret

- Secret use of

too was,

Castro advice

to FS

(Argument  
of balance)

VFK (but

ignorant)

(not like

VCS!

9 SU goal

(evening  
15)

16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Evening  
22

23 24 25 26 27

morning 28

Evening

4 5 (in air 28 d)



China (+VN)  
JFK, like McN in VN  
canceled

2-28-25

Lewis gotten us in (for dem. + bureaucratic reasons  
Rush's job!

actual wall to keep a lid on VCS,

prevent the worst from happening

substantially worse  
than seemed!

True War with SU

" War with China  
or Big ~~War~~ war in China  
Big war in VN

Kickie, K and DRV!

---

What if US public & Congress knew of  
Mongol??

(SU ICBMs were out for Type II / RU / FS  
deterrence of a US/NATO non-core invasion)

(Berlin: US ICBMs relevant!) & possible

SU blockade = US blockade of China

But SU couldn't really afford to  
oppose that clearly illegal act of war!  
US RU/FS thinks too cowardly, though not stupid.



(I didn't know in 1964:

2-28-15

Morgeson

Pravda news (although - 1961!)

so I didn't know:

Cuba<sup>62</sup> was SU's Berlin<sup>61</sup>

China were spontaneous (EPT!)

reversed!

1962 = 1961

K turns tables:

We "can't" lose Berlin

for some reasons K couldn't lose Cuba:

We could only "defend"/defeat in some way!

(K "controlled" war in Cuba

as we did in Berlin & Turkey

British missiles were for some (US)

for protection as SU MRMs in Cuba

+ the vulnerability was on ground

(vs. AWW/mr)

strat.

allied

missile with "dts"

Cuba/Berlin

as well as his "my beautiful FS  
that is true" (in 1958!

not enough ICBMs

in US '58-59.

in SU '62

(see K that in 1961, C.T.!) <sup>some times</sup>

(not revealed in '62 (or '61) when the went in! <sup>SC</sup> MRMs, yes!

2-28-15

X Both K and JFK were <sup>totally</sup> bluffing (no intention)  
(like Huth in Rhineland)

Remember

~~K~~ in Cuba

and Berlin

(Did Albin know  
this? Want it?  
Run it?)

If werits maul in that sense —

though VCS (+ NATO?) were!

But both were taking steps to improve  
their threats

being made private  
while phrasing to convince if necessary

- JFK: think of an attack/invasion

- blocked by blockade (risking)

- readiness for invasion

- SAC about (+ U-2 "error")

But then, JFK was alternative to attack

(see Rusk, Alford (McN to me?!))

Scali - no differs  
K-8 at end  
BLUFF

Friday

JFK ready to accept K but  
both, own attack

my reaction - like  
O'Donnell, R=K:

brother  
of Isaac  
(Korea is area lost)

K threat: to stop, unless public trial

- crack went on minors

- apparently: AA, SAM!

But: last  
to, for each:

but while AA dual;  
but dual!

but ready to accept JFK but both  
own stamps / US att (= K & very both)



2-28-15

Secrets well-kept

○ - still not

"observed":

dots not

connected;

significant  
misinterpretation

○

x - still  
not "known"

□ - not known  
all ExComm

□ Mayaguez (not in tapes, Warren Commission;  
ExComm  
RFK; Schles, Gov; ~~Mass~~ EGZ/wc/  
64  
my '64 study!  
(with SE, T, K, E)

□ Assass plans "

□ Northwoods

□ American (PSALM) (McN line) "

□ - PSALM (before? Dir C/A/VCS misheard?)

JFK tapes

○ JFK was trick as "fair", Oct. 27  
(like Strom, Oct 30; McN, 18?)

○ x □ RFK deal ult. (after 6's memo)

○ □ JFK / Rusk / U Stent

⊙ x □ JFK / Mini Alford (+ see Oct 15!)

○ x □ (RFK / Dahmer on public trick?

Ready? Shady? diffusion?

Dir & assure happen - JFK

○ x McN on trick

Oct 18?

Ready

□ (SU) too much K bluff

□ wounded

□ delegation

□ (Berlin plans)

○ □ K lack of control of SAM, AA

?  
2-23-15

If didn't mean to use TCS "thrust like hammer"  
but took chance,  
inadvertently, without knowing

Paralyzed, and  
regional: not forced  
up? (Bentley?)

Good over-estimated his own control  
of the others!

Crisis Taker Blame

(see Days of Johnson)

JFK and Barbara Johnson (join degree  
to Europe)  
on Oct 22

He died on Oct 23 ("Prison")

But K toward my sober Friday night

see JFK in Park  
minutes, in tapes

and so did JFK if he really told  
Dale (or B) of Truett, during work  
(the wound of "loss of control,"  
Clark, according to Dalman)

Check:

McN Oct 18  
trunk

+ JFK/alt  
on Oct Oct 27

(not)  
if he did this - was he, taking it  
back Sat night?

Because of Rudy, Ex Com?

(in book):



Sat.  
2-28-15

Estelle Hemmings Scholars, MSM

1. If a Pres says, "off the table —  
withdrawal"

—"He didn't consider doing it."

"No one advised..."

of C-E trade

"Eat it"?

BLUFF:  
(ULT)

Pres: "on table"

WFO, organs, "OFF  
etc."

2. If a Pres says, "That was unavoidable  
("9-11": Rise)

No one foresaw possibility

knives in Cuba

3.

(Unavoidable to OSE:  
But = Pres/Pres PSALM)

Sometimes it was "unavoidable" — despite warnings

K too late / delegation

Stalin — Barbarossa, in 1941

Castro independence

or really

"off table"

Ruth is to be criticized

Continuing reality is well-known event

[My Story '64; EGE 65-68; Moscow] Ex Com PSALM

2-28-15

Ambiguity: "objected" after → visible risk of

(not "most favorable

content —

to be profound criticism"

"most favorable

(apart from (hard) consequences

production"

X Institutional; Political; alliances; consistency; bridge; needs' proposals

NC

- "We're Good" → Dual standard

Convolving to CW option

$$CW = WWI$$

$$Stalin/K = Hitler$$

i) Anybody goes

ii) If we build (American  
superior:

"Killing" or

threatening Americans

(Yes - "thank to  
peace")!

iii) Not impossible

("offensive")

they're unstable

iv) <sup>no</sup> Compromise w. evil  
factor

- We're the Strong

main doctrine

(but - how doesn't build us

not on any side

- Fairness doesn't apply w/ SU

of Cuba:

Shameless?

They're not equals

(in power, or morality)



C/A didn't expect it — "they've never done it."

But we know:

Also, recently — East Germany:

"We would have known" "

2) If we ever had intelligence like this!  
Cuba!

(Though: Berlin could have evolved  
like this — if SU would first:

minim US non-use force

K would so fast he couldn't consult Cuba —

He treated Castro even worse

than we ~~threatened~~ Trotsky, if we would

"beriberimite"

(C. didn't want IRBMs —

he had too many!

(if he hadn't been so

ego: Wf?)

---

My FU threats

TRX

(Doesn't core want STES/ to  
succeed?!

Did C-F intentionally (not, "acc") S-S split?  
(over S/DP?)

From some independent [C-F?! de Koolle  
Scheffelt - with  
of VE  
Palanis - possibly]



3-10-15  
9:30 PM

Oct. 22 speech is so dramatic  
~~was~~ from - and - unemerging as  
GWB and Rice (muslims) on  
Army and Stt (can if Stt had WMDs).

On boat, pretty much the same!

(Assume of Berlin = not its safety & welfare,  
really after the Wall =

but negotiation of GDR

(Was the idea done? How was it negotiated?  
In UN? states? FRG?

Book: a real danger: uprising  
in GDR

Will Putin accept my part of Ukraine  
in NATO?

or fight to prevent it?

Accept NATO troops in West US?

and + what? What if

used against East US?

Donation of East of what?

What will be fight to prevent?

What will Russia? Hitler?

Campaign to 2016??

I was prepping up a register -  
Wassenaar Pact, GDR as Register -  
that did not deserve to be protected -  
financial, intellectual, military,  
low standard of living (with some  
social welfare units - how much)  
- in USSR, too!

as 'Haber' said  
(though transmission was misheard)  
consequently  
lots about Register desiderata!  
USSR - Russia today!

yet - glamor  
WOT

withdrew from empire

(Ranger - How at Rhyfaint - then of missiles, now...  
(no more will be protected than Arab Ship,  
Camp Wall St., power divided,  
New France movement...)

(South Africa? Sandinistas  
LA, maybe. SDS (CORE?)

"We can't go on like this"  
G to Russia sent for USA...

Love up Hallway - Breitbart



Reuben

3-12-15

[ size of literature on C-II

vs. WWI?

WWII?

Holocaust?

alt. views?

Dave intell still unbalanced VER intentions,  
motives

or ~~at~~ K's on 10-27 night

early 28 morning?

Still not recognizing wrong that K was not  
a "high-risk target"

(He took some risk, more than  
others would, but not united: somewhat mid-tenured  
and had more to gain

and more to lose if he didn't!

then more than now, in

even OOI! (Mogsoni?

Who knew?

NOT Hartshill

SAC was wrong about what K didn't  
do! Perpetrated this frame on ours!

despite arguments!

Risk-tolerance

8. tolerance of ambiguity  
description of it  
as a ~~psych.~~ variable

("I don't know  
(what I think about)  
what makes")

K/T — K was facing a sure loss —  
Cubra — in 5-6!

Analysts are to take a high risk  
(small risk of

---

Intell/WH might well have understood within K  
might not drink his debt force was inevitable  
and would quit fast → MRBMs!

(Kelpers / other, etc. ! + back-down on Berlin

UKR ("mad") prob spread...

fallout craze

My thing of ambiguity: Missile not disruptive

Any vs. USAF

"Obvious policy bias"

is extreme is prevalent

McKone on Cuba!

and dissemination of other — high ext. my be right (on one side: and this  
curve is biased, too!)



It should have worked — sorry —  
if he had done the easy, "predictable, reasonable  
thing — that ACT McCarver expected!

Just no one counts on his luck, or  
its bearing on trials, or its effort on outcome!

335 Answer (K was not wrong about efforts of a F.A.  
(no. E. M. H.?)

Hyp: K did not expect even, or more even —  
he "knew" (like VFR) he would not go there  
(like VFR — "no 300K troops in VN.")

(But see Dallas Aviation!)

("at most, I can lose 90°")

(was not quite the? because you "have some  
chance of cutting loss to 0 or  $< 90^\circ$  vs. some loss  
(and always after <sup>part of</sup> ~~the~~ morning

loss of  $\$$  by bidding  $10^\circ$  higher

Type II ditto as a stable dist — bid prob of avoiding  
small, sure, s-t losses — at risk of  
choicest, ~~to~~ not give "badly" but total  
annihilate! (no bail-out of saving)

disturbance?

1 | Did K have a US TS? ~~later~~ (if he didn't  
occupy Berlin)?  
or — was much worse Berlin?